IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE FIRST DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

**THE HON'BLE MS JUSTICE G.ROHINI**

**WRIT PETITION NO : 19914 of 2004**

Between:

Chitrasena Parida, S/o. I. Parida,
R/o. Reddy House, Road No.1, Miyapur, Sivarampalli (M),
R.R. District.

**..... PETITIONER**

AND

The Collector and District Magistrate, Ranga Reddy District,
Lakdi-ka-pool, Hyderabad.

**.....RESPONDENT**

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ, Order or Direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the inaction of the respondent in not issuing gun licence is arbitrary, illegal unjust and violative of Art. 19(1) (f) of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondent to issue the gun licence to the petitioner.

**Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.C.M.R.VELU**

**Counsel for the Respondent: GP FOR HOME**

**The Court made the following :**

**ORDER:**

The petitioner states that he is holding Arms licence issued by the office of Deputy Commissioner, Mon District, Nagaland, vide licence No.791/Mon, dated 25-03-1993. He states that he purchased 12 Bore SBBL gun bearing No.85203-2001 from the Indian Arms Corporation, Dimapur, Nagaland on 06-11-2002. It appears that thereafter he has shifted his residence from Nagaland to Ganjam in Orissa during the year 1998 and got his licence re-registered in the state of Orissa. Subsequently, he has shifted from Orissa to Ranga Reddy District during the year 2003, and made a representation on 10-07-2003, requesting the respondent to issue the required licence and he also submitted his original Arms licence. His application was also acknowledged by the office of the respondent. The only grievance of the petitioner is that the respondent failed to consider his application dated 10-07-2003, and no order has been passed so far. Hence this writ petition.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader for Home appearing for the respondent, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the writ petition with a direction to the respondent to consider the application of the petitioner dated 10-07-2003, and to pass appropriate orders in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of this order. No costs.

_____

01-11-2004

Note: Issue C.C in two days.

B/o.

vs

To

1 The Collector and District Magistrate, Ranga Reddy District, Lakdi-ka-pool, Hyderabad.

2 2CCs to the G.P for Home, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad(O.U.T).

3 2CD copies